## Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Sup	plemental						
LRB Number <b>07-1782/3</b>	Introduction Number AB-0	359						
<b>Description</b> The preference systems for issuing Class A bear licenses, bobcat hunting and trapping permits, fisher trapping permits, and otter trapping permits								
Fiscal Effect	·							
Appropriations Rev	rease Existing venues  crease Existing crease Existing venues  Increase Costs - May to absorb within ager  INCREASE COSTS - May to absorb within ager  INCREASE COSTS							
Permissive Mandatory Perr  2. Decrease Costs 4. Dec Permissive Mandatory Perr	5.Types of Local Government Units Aff Towns Villa Towns Counties Othe Crease Revenue Missive Mandatory Mandatory  5.Types of Local Government Units Aff Counties Othe Counties Othe Districts Dist	age 🔲 Cities ers						
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date						
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	6/12/2007							

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 6/13/2007

LRB Number <b>07-1782/3</b>	Introduction Number	AB-0359	Estimate Type	Original			
<b>Description</b> The preference systems for issuing Class A bear licenses, bobcat hunting and trapping permits, fisher trapping permits, and otter trapping permits							

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Summary: Currently bobcat, otter and fisher permits are issued on a cumulative preference point system. If the number of applicants exceeds the number of permits available, higher priority is given to those with more preference points. Those applicants who are selected must accept the permit for the upcoming season. This bill would allow an applicant for one of these permits to apply for a preference point only, if he or she is not seeking a permit for the upcoming season but wishes to accumulate an additional preference point.

Assumptions and Fiscal Estimate: The Department assumes that approximately 50% of the bobcat hunting and trapping permit applicants would apply for a preference point only. Licensing system changes would be required to offer two approval types for these customers (i.e., applying for a preference point only versus applying for a permit). These changes could be made as the Department develops the new Licensing System that will be implemented in March 2008. Currently, there is no paper application for the bobcat hunting and trapping permit. If the Department determines that paper application forms are required there will be modest additional costs relating to printing the form and entering application data.

The Department anticipates incurring few, if any, costs to implement the otter and fisher preference-point-only option. DNR currently uses an application form that is printed and distributed annually. Revisions to this application form to accommodate the preference point only option would be completed during the annual review.

In addition, the internal program used for the bobcat, otter and fisher permit drawing will need minor coding changes by internal DNR staff to accommodate the preference point only option. The anticipated coding changes would a maximum of four hours at a cost to the Department of \$200 or less.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2007 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB N	lumber	07-1782	/3	Intro	duction Nu	mber	AB-0359		
Description The preference systems for issuing Class A bear licenses, bobcat hunting and trapping permits, fisher trapping permits, and otter trapping permits									
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):									
Some minimal one-time costs could be incurred if paper application is created for bobact hunting and trapping permit. In addition, a minor change to the program used for permit drawing could generate a cost of \$200 or less.									
II. Annu	alized Cos	ts:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
					Increased Cos	ts	Decreased Costs		
A. State	Costs by 6	Category							
State	Operations	- Salaries and	d Fringes			\$	\$		
(FTE	Position Ch	anges)							
State	Operations	- Other Costs	3						
Local	Assistance								
Aids to	o Individuals	s or Organiza	tions						
TO	TAL State (	Costs by Cat	egory			\$	\$		
B. State	Costs by S	Source of Fu	nds						
GPR									
FED									
PRO/F	PRS		<del></del>						
SEG/S	SEG-S								
III. State	Revenues	- Complete	this only wi	hen proposa	l will increase	or decre	ase state		
revenue	s (e.g., tax	increase, de	crease in li	cense fee, e	ts.)	or acore	use state		
					Increased Re	ev	Decreased Rev		
GPR 1	Taxes				(	\$	\$		
GPR E	Earned								
FED						-			
PRO/F	PRS								
SEG/S	SEG-S					İ			
TOT	ΓAL State F	Revenues				\$	\$		
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT									
					Stat	е	Local		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS				3	6	\$			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE			9	Б	\$				
				•			<u> </u>		
Agency/	Prepared E	Ву		Authorized	Signature		Date		
DNR/ Joe	e Polasek (6	608) 266-279	4	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 6/12/2007					